

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 02/04/2024
fromEilis O'Friel I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 18/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 02/04/2024 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments Eilis O'Friel response to S.13112/03/2024 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☐

	Plans Date Stamped <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date Stamped Filled in <input type="checkbox"/>
EO: <u>Rat E</u>	AA: <u>Anthony McNally</u>
Date: <u>18/04/2024</u>	Date: <u>25/04/2024</u>


Stephen Sutton

From: Bord
Sent: Tuesday 2 April 2024 15:54
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: Submission ABP case no. ABP -314485-22 Planning Authority Ref No. F20A/0668

From: Eilis O'Friel <eilisofriel3@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 3:47 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>
Subject: Submission ABP case no. ABP -314485-22 Planning Authority Ref No. F20A/0668

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please see attached my signed submission on this application, requesting it be refused.



**Submission - A
F20A/0668**

1 message

Eilis O'Friel <eilisofrie
To: Eilis O'Friel <eiliso

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for your le

Regards
Eilis O’Friel
Hawthorn House
Ballyboughal
Sent from my iPhone



Ellis O'Friel <eilisofriel3@gmail.com>

Submission - ABP Case Num: ABP- 314485-22 Planning Authority Reference Num: F20A/0668

1 message

Ellis O'Friel <eilisofriel3@gmail.com>
To: Ellis O'Friel <eilisofriel3@gmail.com>

2 April 2024 at 15:39

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for your letter dated 12/3/24. I wish to add the following submissions

I was startled to find that new noise contours have been submitted extending the zones hugely without any notification to the public, especially individuals directly affected. This is a stark example of the DAA under-hand approach and lack of engagement with the affected communities.

NOTE

- * There have been no note of these noise contour changes in any of the planning notices for this application.
- * None of the site notices informed the Public of these noise contour changes.
- * People now affected did not get an opportunity to may a planning submission.
- * Should Bord Pleanala not have given a public notice because of these big changes. This is totally unacceptable and does not allow for fairness in the planning process.

* Tom Phillips & associates reasons for the changes to the insulation scheme is flawed. The DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and as a result have not met with the EIA directive. The assessment is clearly flawed. The EIA directive is very clear, all significant impact on the environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. This has not happened so far.

* Can the DAA explain why the noise contours have suddenly grown. Can they be requested to submit the actual noise results along all the north runway flight path and how they wer/are compiled. Separate noise monitoring has been carried out and noise levels have been recorded far exceeding the figures predicted by the DAA!

* In the Fingal development plan reference is made to the noise zones. This is based on the original (inaccurate) noise zones and would need to be revised due to the proposed flight paths over an extensive area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise Zone A, as it is considered harmful to health or unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. The flight path now being operated by DAA puts many people in Zones A & B, totally unacceptable from a health point of view.

* The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Levels of noise in bedrooms already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendations in the Fingal Development Plan and are not sufficient to protect individuals health.

The DAA 's actions show a total disregard and lack of respect not only to the local communities but also for the planning legislation and decisions of An Bord Pleanala. This application must be refused.

Regards

Ellis O'Friel
Hawthorn House
Ballyboughal

